



Minutes of the 3rd meeting of the PGC of IIT-D held on 3rd November, 2014 at 03.30 PM in the Board Room, IIT-D Campus, Okhla Industrial Estate, Phase-III, New Delhi

Following were present:

- | | | |
|---------------------------|---|--------------------|
| • Prof. Ashwin Srinivasan | - | Chairman |
| • Dr. Astrid Kiehn | - | Member |
| • Dr. Mayank Vatsa | - | Member |
| • Dr. Vinayak Naik | - | Member |
| • Dr. Shobha Sundar Ram | - | Member |
| • Dr. Sujay Deb | - | Member |
| • Prof. Sumit Roy | - | ECE |
| • Mr. K P Singh | - | Academic In-Charge |
| • Ms. Anshu Dureja | - | JM-Academics |

At the outset the Chair, PGC welcomed all to the meeting. Thereafter, Prof. Sumit Roy, presented the ECE's Ph.D. regulations and explained the salient features of the proposal. He also apprised the members of the proposed changes in the course work requirement, admission procedure and comprehensive examination, introduction of Qualifying examination in place of current annual progress review. He also informed that the proposed regulations will not be applicable for Ph.D. CSE students.

During the course of discussions Dr. Mayank Vatsa pointed out that the proposed regulations have a number of inconsistencies and are not in conformity with the Ph.D. regulations of the Institute and hence, need wider discussions among the faculty of the departments before making any recommendations.

The following differences were noted:

ECE proposal

- Provision for Qualifying exam

Existing regulation (for CSE)

- There is no such provision

- No yearly progress review
- Contingency grant based on Qual.exam.
- B.Tech. to do 7 taught courses (28 credits)
- students with M.Tech. to do 5 taught courses
- comprehensive time may get increased
- Duration may go beyond normal 4 years
- Yearly review
- Higher contingency based on grading
- B.Tech. to do 32 credits
- M.Tech. to do only 16 credits (4 courses)
- No increase
- Normal duration is 4 years

Considering the views expressed by the members during the course of the meeting it was felt necessary to first discuss the proposal in detail at the Faculty meeting. Thereafter, the recommendations of the FM may be placed before the PGC for further deliberations. However, before placing the proposal for discussions at the FM meeting, the Chairman, PGC was requested to clarify from the Director whether one Institute can have a separate set of regulation for each Ph.D. program.

The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.